## SPD5152 Industry-Based Student Project

http://ibse.hk/SPD5152/

## Interim Review (2016-2017)

9 Jun 2017 (Fri), 19:00-22:00, Room 811, 8/F THEi Building

Time	Student Name and Topic	Supervisor	Modulator
19:00-19:15	155586124 WONG Kai Chung Arthur 王啟聰	WSF	SH
	Study on the life cycle costing of various HVAC systems		
19:15-19:30	155584597 LAW Lit Man 羅烈文	SH	LYM
	Feasibility study of grey water recycling for a student dormitory in		
10.00.10.15	Hong Kong		5.00
19:30-19:45	155581792 TANG Ka Ho 鄧家豪	SH	DW
	Lead in drinking water and evaluation of plumbing systems in Hong Kong		
19:45-20:00	155592235 LO Man Fai 羅文輝	DW	SH
	Retrofitting building services for a more energy efficient office building		
20:00-20:15	155583214 HUNG Kit Ching 洪杰鉦	LYM	WSF
	Analysis of potential power generation from fresh water supply		
	system in high-rise residential buildings		
20:15-20:30	Break		
20:30-20:45	155583263 LAI Chi Chuen 黎志泉	LYM	DW
	Study on the living environment of public housing in Hong Kong		
20:45-21:00	155586401 WONG Yiu Tak 黃耀德	LYM	WYH
	Feasibility study of PV power generation on THEi Building (Tsing Yi)		
21:00-21:15	155583171 FONG Shui Kwan 方水堃	WYH	LYM
	Study of indoor air pollution sources in Hong Kong		
21:15-21:30	155584898 LEE Yu Ming 李宇明	WYH	WSF
	Feasibility analysis of building integrated photovoltics in Hong Kong		
21:30-21:45	155583238 KONG Chi Hang 江智恒	WSF	WYH
	Design of solar energy powered street lamps in Hong Kong		

## Time Schedule and Student Preparation:

Each student: 10 min. presentation + 5 min. Q&A. Students should attend all the presentation sessions to learn from each other. They should arrive at least 10 minutes before the start of presentation (19:00) and check their presentation files to ensure smooth running of the sessions.

Academic Staff:

SH	Dr. Sam C M Hui	LYM	Dr. LUO Yimo, Constance
DW	Dr. Dennis W K Wu	WSF	Dr. WANG Shi Feng, Stephen
WYH	Dr. WANG Yuanhao	ET	Dr. Ernest TSANG Kin Wai

## Assessment Method:

The interim review constitutes 15% of the total course marks and will be assessed jointly by the Supervisor and Moderator. The assessment criteria include content, organization, presentation skills, and response to questions. Suggested range of marks: Excellent 75-100, Good 65-74, Satisfactory 56-64, Sufficient 40-55, Fail < 40.